

**EE4X97 Poster Presentation Evaluation Form**

Date:

Student Name and Last Name:

Project Title:

**Jury Member Name and Last Name**

Please return the hardcopy of the evaluation report to the Department Chair.

	<b>Max. Points</b>	<b>Student/Group Score</b>
<b>Check for Originality</b>	<b>30</b>	
<b>Assess Technical Accuracy</b>	<b>30</b>	
<b>Evaluate Presentation Quality</b>	<b>40</b>	
<b>Total</b>	<b>100</b>	

**1. Check for Originality (30 pts)**

- Determine whether the poster contributes new insights, methodologies, or findings, or if it simply summarizes existing knowledge.
- **Comprehensiveness:** Does the poster cover the topic adequately without being overly simplistic or unnecessarily detailed?
- **Relevance:** Are the topics and findings directly related to the main question or hypothesis the poster addresses?

**2. Assess Technical Accuracy (30 pts)**

- **Fact-checking:** Verify the data, formulas, graphs, and key claims presented on the poster against credible sources or established knowledge.
- **Consistency:** Ensure that the information aligns with the current understanding of the subject.
- Look for citations and references to credible sources. Are claims backed up with proper evidence or data?
- Examine the statistical methods or experimental designs to see if they are robust and valid.

**3. Evaluate Presentation Quality (40 pts)**

- **Readability:** Is the text legible and well-organized, with appropriate font sizes and styles?
- **Aesthetics:** Does the design help to highlight key points without being overly distracting?
- **Language:** Check if the terminology is appropriate for the intended audience and free from jargon (or clearly explained if used).
- **Structure:** Determine whether the content follows a logical flow, with sections like introduction, methods, results, and conclusions clearly delineated.
- **Visual Representation:** Review the accuracy and clarity of charts, graphs, and diagrams. Are they labeled correctly? Do they accurately represent the data?